

László Székelyhidi\*

# Superstability of functional equations related to spherical functions

DOI 10.1515/math-2017-0038

Received January 12, 2016; accepted March 2, 2016.

**Abstract:** In this paper we prove stability-type theorems for functional equations related to spherical functions. Our proofs are based on superstability-type methods and on the method of invariant means.

**Keywords:** Spherical function, Stability

**MSC:** 39B82, 39B52, 43A90

## 1 Introduction

In this paper  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the set of complex numbers. We suppose that  $G$  is a topological group and  $K$  is a compact topological group of continuous automorphisms of  $G$ . Hence, as a group  $K$  is a subgroup of the group of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  of all continuous automorphisms of  $G$ . We also assume that the mapping  $k \mapsto k(x)$  from  $K$  into  $G$  is continuous for each  $x$  in  $G$ . The normed Haar measure on  $K$  is denoted by  $m_K$ . Hence  $m_K$  is right and left invariant and  $m_K(K) = 1$ . We shall consider the functional equation

$$\int_K f(xk(y)) dm_K(k) = g(x)h(y) + p(y), \quad (1)$$

where  $f, g, h, p : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are continuous functions, and  $f$  is non-identically zero. Important special cases are

$$\int_K f(xk(y)) dm_K(k) = f(x) + f(y) \quad (2)$$

corresponding to the case  $h = 1, f = g = p$ , and

$$\int_K f(xk(y)) dm_K(k) = f(x)f(y) \quad (3)$$

corresponding to the case  $p = 0, f = g = h$ . Nonzero solutions  $f$  of the latter equation are called *generalized  $K$ -spherical functions*. We note that if  $f$  is a bounded solution of (3), then we call it a  *$K$ -spherical function*. For  $K$ -spherical functions see [1]. Functional equations related to spherical functions have been studied in [2–4]. In the case  $G$  is a discrete group and  $K = \{id_G\}$  then (1) reduces to

$$f(xy) = g(x)h(y) + p(y)$$

which is a Levi–Civita–type functional equation and its stability was studied on hypergroups in [5]. The stability of sine and cosine functional equations was investigated in [6].

In this paper we study stability properties of functional equations of type (1). The ideas are similar to those in [5–7].

\*Corresponding Author: László Székelyhidi: Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1., P.O. Box 12, H 4010 Debrecen, Hungary and Department of Mathematics, University of Botswana, E-mail: lszekelyhidi@gmail.com

## 2 Superstability of the functional equation (1) when $p = 0$

The following lemma is crucial.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous, then we have*

$$\int_K \int_K f(xk(y)l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) = \int_K \int_K f(xk(y)l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l)$$

for each  $x, y, z$  in  $G$ .

*Proof.* We apply Fubini's Theorem and the invariance of  $m_K$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \int_K f(xk(y)l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) &= \int_K \int_K f(xk(y)l(z)) dm_K(l) dm_K(k) = \\ \int_K \int_K f(xk(y)(kl)(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) &= \int_K \int_K f(xk(y)l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The next theorem is about the superstability of the functional equation of type (1) where  $f$  and  $g$  are equal and  $p = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are continuous functions such that the function*

$$x \mapsto \int_K f(xk(y)) dm_K(k) - f(x)g(y)$$

is bounded on  $G$  for each  $y$  in  $G$ . Then either  $f$  is bounded or  $g$  is a generalized  $K$ -spherical function.

*Proof.* We let

$$F(x, y) = \int_K f(xk(y)) dm_K(k) - f(x)g(y)$$

for each  $x, y$  in  $G$ . Then  $F : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous and it satisfies  $|F(x, y)| \leq A(y)$  with some function  $A : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for each  $x, y$  in  $G$ .

Substituting  $yl(z)$  for  $y$  and using the fact that  $l \mapsto F(x, yl(z))$  is continuous, hence integrable on  $K$ , we have, by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \int_K f(xk(y)l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) - f(x) \int_K g(yl(z)) dm_K(l) &= \\ \int_K \int_K f(xk(yl(z))) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) - f(x) \int_K g(yl(z)) dm_K(l) &= \int_K F(x, yl(z)) dm_K(l). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, substituting  $xk(y)$  for  $x$  and  $z$  for  $y$  we obtain

$$\int_K \int_K f(xk(y)l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) - g(z) \int_K f(xk(y)) dm_K(k) = \int_K F(xk(y), z) dm_K(k). \quad (5)$$

Moreover, we have

$$g(z) \int_K f(xk(y)) dm_K(k) - f(x)g(y)g(z) = g(z)F(x, y)$$

which implies, together with (5)

$$\int_K \int_K f(xk(y)l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) - f(x)g(y)g(z) = \int_K F(xk(y), z) dm_K(k) + g(z)F(x, y). \quad (6)$$

Now, from (4) and (6) we derive

$$f(x) \left( \int_K g(y l(z)) dm_K(l) - g(y)g(z) \right) = - \int_K F(x, y l(z)) dm_K(l) + \int_K F(x k(y), z) dm_K(k) + g(z)F(x, y)$$

for each  $x, y, z$  in  $G$ . Obviously, the right hand side, as a function of  $x$ , is bounded on  $G$ . Hence, if  $f$  is unbounded, then we must have

$$\int_K g(y l(z)) dm_K(l) = g(y)g(z)$$

for each  $y, z$  in  $G$ , which was to be proved. □

As a consequence we obtain the superstability of the functional equation of  $K$ -spherical functions.

**Corollary 3.** *Suppose that  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous function such that the function*

$$x \mapsto \int_K f(x k(y)) dm_K(k) - f(x)f(y)$$

*is bounded on  $G$  for each  $y$  in  $G$ . Then either  $f$  is bounded or it is a generalized  $K$ -spherical function.*

Now we are in the position to prove the general superstability-type result for equation (1) in the case  $p = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose that  $f, g, h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are continuous functions such that  $h$  is nonzero, and the function*

$$x \mapsto \int_K f(x k(y)) dm_K(k) - g(x)h(y)$$

*is bounded on  $G$  for each  $y$  in  $G$ . Then either  $g$  is bounded or  $h(e) \neq 0$  and  $h/h(e)$  is a generalized  $K$ -spherical function.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $h(e) = 0$ , where  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ . Then we have

$$\int_K f(x k(e)) dm_K(k) - g(x)h(e) = f(x),$$

it follows that  $f$  is bounded, which implies immediately that the function  $x \mapsto g(x)h(y)$  is bounded for each  $y$ , too. As  $h \neq 0$  we infer that  $g$  is bounded. This means that we may assume that  $h(e) \neq 0$ . In this case, obviously, we may replace  $h$  by  $h/h(e)$ , that is we assume  $h(e) = 1$ .

We use similar ideas like above. We introduce the function

$$F(x, y) = \int_K f(x k(y)) dm_K(k) - g(x)h(y)$$

for each  $x, y$  in  $G$ , then  $F : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous, and it satisfies

$$|F(x, y)| \leq A(y)$$

for each  $x, y$  in  $G$  with some function  $A : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We have then

$$\int_K \int_K f(x k(y) l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) - h(z) \int_K g(x k(y)) dm_K(k) = \int_K F(x k(y), z) dm_K(k) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\int_K \int_K f(x k(y) l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) - g(x) \int_K h(y l(z)) dm_K(l) = \int_K F(x, y l(z)) dm_K(l) \tag{8}$$

for each  $x, y, z$  in  $G$  and  $k, l$  in  $K$ . It follows

$$h(z) \int_K g(xk(y)) dm_K(k) - g(x) \int_K h(yl(z)) dm_K(l) = \int_K [F(x, yk(z)) - F(xk(y), z)] dm_K(k)$$

for each  $x, y, z$  in  $G$ . Substituting  $z = e$  and using  $h(e) = 1$  we obtain

$$\int_K g(xk(y)) dm_K(k) - g(x)h(y) = F(x, y) - \int_K F(xk(y), e) dm_K(k),$$

and

$$h(z) \int_K g(xk(y)) dm_K(k) - g(x)h(y)h(z) = h(z)[F(x, y) - \int_K F(xk(y), e) dm_K(k)].$$

Adding to (7) we have

$$\int_K \int_K f(xk(y)l(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) - g(x)h(y)h(z) = \int_K F(xk(y), z) dm_K(k) + h(z)[F(x, y) - \int_K F(xk(y), e) dm_K(k)]. \tag{9}$$

Finally, we subtract (8) from (9) to get

$$g(x) \left( \int_K h(yl(z)) dm_K(l) - h(y)h(z) \right) = \int_K F(xk(y), z) dm_K(k) + h(z)[F(x, y) - \int_K F(xk(y), e) dm_K(k)] - \int_K F(x, yk(z)) dm_K(k),$$

and the right hand side is a bounded function of  $x$ . Hence if  $g$  is unbounded, then we must have

$$\int_K h(yl(z)) dm_K(l) = h(y)h(z)$$

for each  $y, z$  in  $G$ , which is our statement. □

### 3 Stability of the functional equation (1)

If in the functional equation (1) we have  $p \neq 0$ , then the equation has some "additive character", too, as it includes equation (2) if  $h = 1$ . Hence we cannot expect a purely superstability result, which is a common feature of multiplicative-type equations. On the other hand, in the case of additive-type equations our experience shows that invariant means can be utilized. This is illustrated in the following general result.

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose that  $G$  is an amenable group,  $K$  is finite and let  $f, g, h, p$  be continuous functions with  $f$  and  $h$  unbounded. Then the function*

$$(x, y) \mapsto \int_K f(xk(y)) dm_K(k) - g(x)h(y) - p(y)$$

*is bounded if and only if we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= h(e)[\varphi(x) + \psi(x)] + b_1(x) \\ g(x) &= \varphi(x) + \psi(x) \\ h(x) &= h(e)\omega(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$p(x) = h(e)\varphi(x) + b_2(x)$$

where  $\omega : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a generalized  $K$ -spherical function,  $b_1, b_2 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are bounded functions,  $h(e)$  is a nonzero complex number,  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a function satisfying

$$\int_K \varphi(xk(y))dm_K(k) = \varphi(x)\omega(y) + \varphi(y) \tag{10}$$

and  $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a function satisfying

$$\int_K \psi(xk(y))dm_K(k) = \psi(x)\omega(y) \tag{11}$$

for each  $x, y$  in  $G$ .

*Proof.* As  $f$  is unbounded, hence  $g$  is unbounded, too, and the function

$$x \mapsto \int_K f(xk(y))dm_K(k) - g(x)h(y)$$

is bounded for every fixed  $y$  in  $G$ . By Theorem 4, it follows that  $h = c\omega$ , where  $c = h(e) \neq 0$ , and  $\omega$  is a generalized  $K$ -spherical function on  $G$ . Replacing  $h$  by  $h/h(e)$  we may suppose that  $h(e) = 1$ . Putting  $y = e$  in the condition we have that  $f - g$  is bounded. Let  $M$  be a right invariant mean on  $G$  and we define

$$\varphi(y) = M_x \left[ \int_K g(xk(y))dm_K(k) - g(x)\omega(y) \right]$$

for each  $y$  in  $G$ . Here  $M_x$  means that the mean  $M$  is applied to the expression in the bracket as a function of  $x$  while  $y$  is kept fixed. Then, since  $\omega$  is a generalized  $K$ -spherical function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K \varphi(y)l(z)dm_K(l) - \varphi(y)\omega(z) - \varphi(z) = \\ & \int_K M_x \left[ \int_K g(xk(y)l(z))dm_K(k) - g(x)\omega(y)l(z) \right] dm_K(l) - \\ & \omega(z)M_x \left[ \int_K g(xk(y))dm_K(k) - g(x)\omega(y) \right] - M_x \left[ \int_K g(xk(z))dm_K(k) - g(x)\omega(z) \right] = \\ & \int_K M_x \left[ \int_K g(xk(y)l(z))dm_K(l) - g(xk(y))\omega(z) \right] dm_K(k) - \\ & \int_K M_x \left[ \int_K g(xl(z))dm_K(l) - g(x)\omega(z) \right] dm_K(k) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1 and by the right invariance of the mean  $M$ . Now we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(y) - p(y) &= M_x \left[ \int_K g(xk(y))dm_K(k) - g(x)\omega(y) - l(y) \right] = \\ & M_x \left[ \int_K f(xk(y))dm_K(k) - g(x)\omega(y) - l(y) \right] + M_x \left[ \int_K (g(xk(y)) - f(xk(y)))dm_K(k) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and here both terms are bounded. It follows that  $l - \varphi$  is bounded.

As  $f - g$  is bounded we have

$$(x, y) \mapsto \int_K f(xk(y))dm_K(k) - \int_K g(xk(y))dm_K(k)$$

is bounded, hence we have that the function

$$(x, y) \mapsto \int_K g(xk(y)) dm_K(k) - g(x)\omega(y) - \varphi(y)$$

is bounded, too. We let

$$\left| \int_K g(xk(y)) dm_K(k) - g(x)\omega(y) - \varphi(y) \right| \leq L$$

for each  $x, y$  in  $G$  with some constant  $L$ . It follows

$$\left| \int_K \int_K g(xl(y)k(z)) dm_K(k) dm_K(l) - \omega(z) \int_K g(xl(y)) dm_K(l) - \varphi(z) \right| \leq L$$

and

$$\left| \int_K \int_K g(xl(y)k(z)) dm_K(l) dm_K(k) - g(x) \int_K \omega(yk(z)) dm_K(k) - \int_K \varphi(yk(z)) dm_K(k) \right| \leq L.$$

From these two inequalities, by (10) and the property of  $\omega$ , we infer

$$\left| \omega(z) \left( \int_K g(xl(y)) dm_K(l) - g(x)\omega(y) - \varphi(y) \right) \right| \leq 2L$$

for each  $x, y, z$  in  $G$ . As  $\omega = h$  is unbounded it follows that we have

$$\int_K g(xl(y)) dm_K(l) = g(x)\omega(y) + \varphi(y)$$

for each  $x, y$  in  $G$ . Hence and from (10), we have

$$\int_K (g(xl(y)) - \varphi(xl(y))) dm_K(l) = (g(x) - \varphi(x))\omega(y),$$

that is,  $g = \varphi + \psi$ , where  $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies (11) for each  $x, y$  in  $G$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

We note that the above results can be generalized to some extent. In fact, we haven't used inverses neither in  $G$ , nor in  $K$ . It follows that similar results can be obtained if we suppose that  $G$  and  $K$  are just some types of topological semigroups satisfying reasonable conditions so that the existence of an invariant integral on  $K$  and – in the case of the general equation (2) – an invariant mean on  $G$  is guaranteed.

**Acknowledgement:** The research was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grant No. K111651.

## References

- [1] Dieudonné, J., *Treatise on Analysis*, Vol. VI., Academic Press, Inc., Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers, New York-London, 1978.
- [2] Stetkær, H., d'Alembert's equation and spherical functions, *Aequationes Math.*, 1994, 48(2-3), 220–227.
- [3] Stetkær, H., Functional equations and matrix-valued spherical functions, *Aequationes Math.*, 2005, 69(3), 271–292.
- [4] Stetkær, H., On operator-valued spherical functions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 2005, 224(2), 338–351.
- [5] Székelyhidi, L., Stability of functional equations on hypergroups, *Aequationes Math.*, 2015, 89(6), 1475–1483. (Erratum to: Stability of functional equations on hypergroups, *Aequationes Math.*, 2016, 90(2), 469–470.)
- [6] Székelyhidi, L., The stability of the sine and cosine functional equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1990, 110(1), 109–115.
- [7] Székelyhidi, L., On a theorem of Baker, Lawrence and Zorzitto, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1982, 84(1), 95–96.